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The closed-box loudspeaker system is effectively a second-order (12 dB/octave cutoff) high-pass filter. Its low-frequency response is controlled by two fundamental system parameters: resonance frequency and total damping. Further analysis reveals that the system electroacoustic reference efficiency is quantitatively related to system resonance frequency, the portion of total damping contributed by electromagnetic coupling, and total system compliance; for air-suspension systems, efficiency therefore effectively depends on frequency response and enclosure size. System acoustic power capacity is found to be fundamentally dependent on frequency response and the volume of air that can be displaced by the driver diaphragm; it may also be limited by enclosure size. Measurement of voice-coil impedance and other mechanical properties provides basic parameter data from which the important low-frequency performance capabilities of a system may be evaluated.

GLOSSARY OF SYMBOLS

\begin{align*}
B & \quad \text{magnetic flux density in driver air gap} \\
c & \quad \text{velocity of sound in air (}= 345 \text{ m/s}) \\
C_{AB} & \quad \text{acoustic compliance of air in enclosure} \\
C_{AS} & \quad \text{acoustic compliance of driver suspension} \\
C_{AT} & \quad \text{total acoustic compliance of driver and enclosure} \\
C_{MEC} & \quad \text{electrical capacitance representing moving mass of system (}= M_{AC} S_D^2 / B^2 \Omega^2) \\
e_s & \quad \text{open-circuit output voltage of source (Thévenin's equivalent generator for amplifier output port)} \\
f & \quad \text{natural frequency variable} \\
f_c & \quad \text{resonance frequency of closed-box system} \\
f_{CT} & \quad \text{resonance frequency of driver in closed, unflilled, unlined test enclosure} \\
f_B & \quad \text{resonance frequency of unenclosed driver} \\
G(s) & \quad \text{response function} \\
k_s & \quad \text{displacement constant} \\
k_P & \quad \text{power rating constant} \\
k_e & \quad \text{efficiency constant} \\
l & \quad \text{length of voice-coil conductor in magnetic gap} \\
L_{CET} & \quad \text{electrical inductance representing total system compliance (}= C_{AT} B^2 \Omega^2 / S_D^2) \\
M_{AC} & \quad \text{acoustic mass of driver in enclosure including air load} \\
M_{AS} & \quad \text{acoustic mass of driver diaphragm assembly including air load} \\
P_{AR} & \quad \text{displacement-limited acoustic power rating} \\
P_{ER} & \quad \text{displacement-limited electrical power rating} \\
P_{B_{(max)}} & \quad \text{thermally-limited maximum input power} \\
Q & \quad \text{ratio of reactance to resistance (series circuit) or resistance to reactance (parallel circuit)} \\
Q_{EC} & \quad Q \text{ of system at } f_c \text{ considering electrical resistance } R_e \text{ only}
\end{align*}
$Q_{EB}$ Q of driver at $f_g$ considering electrical resistance $R_g$ only
$Q_{MC}$ Q of system at $f_c$ considering system non-electrical resistances only
$Q_{MS}$ Q of driver at $f_g$ considering driver non-electrical resistances only
$Q_{TC}$ total Q of system at $f_c$ including all system resistances
$Q_{TGC}$ value of $Q_{TC}$ with $R_g = 0$
$Q_{TS}$ total Q of driver at $f_g$ considering all driver resistances
$R_{AB}$ acoustic resistance of enclosure losses caused by internal energy absorption
$R_{AB}$ acoustic resistance of driver suspension losses
$R_B$ dc resistance of driver voice coil
$R_{ES}$ electrical resistance representing driver suspension losses ($= B^2P / S_p R_{AB}$)
$R_o$ output resistance of source (Thévenin’s equivalent resistance for amplifier output port)
$s$ complex frequency variable ($= \sigma + j\omega$)
$S_D$ effective surface area of driver diaphragm
$T$ time constant ($= 1/2\pi f$)
$U_o$ system output volume velocity
$V_{AB}$ volume of air having same acoustic compliance as air in enclosure ($= \rho 0 c^2 C_{AB}$)
$V_{AB}$ volume of air having same acoustic compliance as driver suspension ($= \rho 0 c^2 C_{AB}$)
$V_{AT}$ total system compliance expressed as equivalent volume of air ($= \rho 0 c^2 C_{AT}$)
$V_B$ net internal volume of enclosure
$V_D$ peak displacement volume of driver diaphragm ($= S_p x_{max}$)
$x_{max}$ peak linear displacement of driver diaphragm
$X(s)$ displacement function
$Z_{VC}(s)$ voice-coil impedance function
$\alpha$ compliance ratio ($= C_{AB} / C_{AT}$)
$\gamma_B$ ratio of specific heat at constant pressure to that at constant volume for air in enclosure
$\eta_o$ reference efficiency
$\rho_0$ density of air ($= 1.18 \text{ kg/m}^3$)
$\omega$ radian frequency variable ($= 2\pi f$)

1. INTRODUCTION

Historical Background

The theoretical prototype of the closed-box loudspeaker system is a driver mounted in an enclosure large enough to act as an infinite baffle [1, Chap. 7]. This type of system was used quite commonly until the middle of this century.

The concept of the modern air-suspension loudspeaker system was established in a U.S. patent application of 1944 by Olson and Preston [2], [3], but the system was not widely introduced until high-fidelity sound reproduction became popular in the 1950’s.

A compact air-suspension loudspeaker system for high-fidelity reproduction was described by Villchur [4] in 1954. Several more papers [5], [6], [7] set out the basic principle of operation but caused a spirited public controversy [8], [9], [10]. Unfortunately, some of the confusion established at the time still remains, particularly with regard to the purpose and effect of materials used to fill the enclosure interior. A recent attempt to dispel this confusion [11] seems to have reduced the level of controversy, and the fundamental validity of the air-suspension approach has been amply proved by its proliferation.

Technical Background

Closed-box loudspeaker systems are the simplest of all loudspeaker systems using an enclosure, both in construction and in analysis. In essence, they consist of an enclosure or box which is completely closed and airtight except for a single aperture in which the driver is mounted.

The low-frequency output of a direct-radiator loudspeaker system is completely described by the acoustic volume velocity crossing the enclosure boundaries [12]. For the closed-box system, this volume velocity is entirely the result of motion of the driver cone, and the analysis is relatively simple.

Traditional closed-box systems are made large so that the acoustic compliance of the enclosed air is greater than that of the driver suspension. The resonance frequency of the driver in the enclosure, i.e., of the system, is thus determined essentially by the driver compliance and moving mass.

The air-suspension principle reverses the relative importance of the air and driver compliances. The driver compliance is made very large so that the resonance frequency of the system is controlled by the much smaller compliance of the air in the enclosure in combination with the driver moving mass. The significance of this difference goes beyond the smaller enclosure size or any related performance improvements; it demonstrates forcibly that the loudspeaker driver and its enclosure cannot be designed and manufactured independently of each other but must be treated as an inseparable system.

In this paper, closed-box systems are examined using the approach described in [12]. The analysis is limited to the low-frequency region where the driver acts as a piston (i.e., the wavelength of sound is longer than the diaphragm circumference) and the enclosure is active in controlling the system behavior.

The results of the analysis show that the important low-frequency performance characteristics of closed-box systems of both conventional and air-suspension type are directly related to a small number of basic and easily-measured system parameters.

The analytical relationships impose definite quantitative limits on both small-signal and large-signal performance of a system but, at the same time, show how these limits may be approached by careful system adjust-

![Fig. 1. Acoustical analogous circuit of closed-box loudspeaker system (impedance analogy).](image)
ment. The same relationships lead directly to methods of synthesis (system design) which are free of trial-and-error procedures and to simple methods for evaluating and specifying system performance at low frequencies.

2. BASIC ANALYSIS

The impedance-type acoustical analogous circuit of the closed-box system is well known and is presented in Fig. 1. In this circuit, the symbols are defined as follows.

- \( B \): Magnetic flux density in driver air gap.
- \( l \): Length of voice-coil conductor in magnetic field of air gap.
- \( e_o \): Open-circuit output voltage of source.
- \( R_g \): Output resistance of source.
- \( R_E \): Dc resistance of driver voice coil.
- \( S_d \): Effective projected surface area of driver diaphragm.
- \( R_{AS} \): Acoustic resistance of driver suspension losses.
- \( M_{AC} \): Acoustic mass of driver diaphragm assembly including voice coil and air load.
- \( C_{AS} \): Acoustic compliance of driver suspension.
- \( R_{AB} \): Acoustic resistance of enclosure losses caused by internal energy absorption.
- \( C_{AB} \): Acoustic compliance of air in enclosure.
- \( U_o \): Output volume velocity of system.

By combining series elements of like type, this circuit can be simplified to that of Fig. 2. The total system acoustic compliance \( C_{AT} \) is given by

\[
C_{AT} = C_{AC}C_{AS}/(C_{AC} + C_{AS}),
\]

and the total system resistance, \( R_{ATC} \), is given by

\[
R_{ATC} = R_{AB} + R_{AS} + \frac{B_0^2l}{(R_g + R_E)S_d^2}.
\]

The electrical equivalent circuit of the closed-box system is formed by taking the dual of the acoustic circuit of Fig. 1 and converting each element to its electrical equivalent [1, Chapter 3]. Simplification of this circuit by combining elements of like type results in the simplified electrical equivalent circuit of Fig. 3. This circuit is arranged so that the actual voice-coil terminals are available. In Fig. 3, the symbols are given by

\[
C_{MEC} = M_{AC}S_d^2/B_0^2l,
\]

\[
L_{CET} = C_{AT}B_0^2l/S_d^2,
\]

\[
R_{EC} = \frac{B_0^2l}{(R_{AB} + R_{AS})S_d^2}.
\]

The circuits presented above are valid only for frequencies within the driver piston range; the circuit elements are assumed to have values which are independent of frequency within this range. As discussed in [12], the effects of the voice-coil inductance and the resistance of the radiation load are neglected.

To simplify the analysis of the system and the interpretation of its describing functions, the following system parameters are defined.

- \( \omega_c = (2\pi f_c) \): Resonance frequency of system, given by
  \[
  1/\omega_c^2 = T_c^2 = C_{AT}M_{AC} = C_{MEC}L_{CET}.
  \]

- \( Q_{MC} \): Q of system at \( f_c \) considering non-electrical resistances only, given by
  \[
  Q_{MC} = \omega_cC_{MEC}R_{EC}.
  \]

- \( Q_{EC} \): Q of system at \( f_c \) considering electrical resistance \( R_E \) only, given by
  \[
  Q_{EC} = \omega_cC_{MEC}R_E.
  \]

- \( Q_{TCO} \): Total Q of system at \( f_c \), when driven by source resistance of \( R_g = 0 \), given by
  \[
  Q_{TCO} = Q_{EC}Q_{MC}/(Q_{EC} + Q_{MC}).
  \]

- \( Q_T \): Total Q of system at \( f_c \) including all system resistances, given by
  \[
  Q_T = 1/(\omega_cC_{AT}R_{ATC}).
  \]

- \( \alpha \): System compliance ratio, given by
  \[
  \alpha = C_{AS}/C_{AB}.
  \]

If the system driver is mounted on a baffle which provides the same total air-load mass as the system enclosure, the driver parameters defined in [12, eqs. (12), (13) and (14)] become

\[
T_s^2 = 1/\omega_s^2 = C_{AB}M_{AC},
\]

\[
Q_{ME} = \omega_sC_{MEC}R_{EC},
\]

\[
Q_{EB} = \omega_sC_{MEC}R_E,
\]

where \( R_{ES} = B_0^2l/S_d^2R_{AS} \) is an electrical resistance representing the driver suspension losses. The driver compliance equivalent volume is unaffected by air-load masses and is in every case [12, eq. (15)]

\[
V_{AS} = \rho c^2C_{AB},
\]

where \( \rho \) is the density of air (1.18 kg/m³) and \( c \) is the...
velocity of sound in air (345 m/s). In this paper, the general driver parameters \( f_S \) (or \( T_S \)), \( Q_{MB} \) and \( Q_{KS} \) will be understood to have the above values unless otherwise specified.

Comparing (1), (6), (8), (11), (12) and (14), the following important relationships between the system and driver parameters are evident:

\[
C_{AS}/C_{AX} = \alpha + 1, \quad (16)
\]

\[
f_C/f_S = T_S/T_C = (\alpha + 1)^{1/2}, \quad (17)
\]

\[
Q_{EC}/Q_{BS} = (\alpha + 1)^{1/2}, \quad (18)
\]

Following the method of [12], analysis of the circuits of Figs. 2 and 3 and substitution of the parameters defined above yields the system response function

\[
G(s) = \frac{s^2 T_c^2}{s^2 T_c^2 + s T_C/Q_{TC} + 1}, \quad (19)
\]

the diaphragm displacement function

\[
X(s) = \frac{1}{s^2 T_c^2 + s T_C/Q_{TC} + 1}, \quad (20)
\]

the displacement constant

\[
k_x = 1/(\alpha + 1), \quad (21)
\]

and the voice-coil impedance function

\[
Z_{VC}(s) = R_E + R_{VC} \frac{s T_C/Q_{MC}}{s^2 T_c^2 + s T_C/Q_{MC} + 1}, \quad (22)
\]

where \( s = \sigma + j \omega \) is the complex frequency variable.

### 3. Response

**Frequency Response**

The frequency response of the closed-box system is given by (19). This is a second-order (12 dB/octave cutoff) high-pass filter function; it contains information about the low-frequency amplitude, phase, delay and transient response characteristics of the closed-box system [13]. Because the system is minimum-phase, these characteristics are interrelated; adjustment of one determines the others. In audio systems, the flatness and extent of the steady-state amplitude-vs-frequency response—or simply frequency response—is usually considered to be of greatest importance.

The frequency response \( |G(j \omega)| \) of the closed-box system is examined in the appendix. Several typical response curves are illustrated in Fig. 4 with the frequency scale normalized to \( \omega_C \). The curve for \( Q_{TC} = 0.50 \) is a second-order critically-damped alignment; that for \( Q_{TC} = 0.71 \) (i.e., \( 1/\sqrt{2} \)) is a second-order Butterworth (B2) maximally-flat alignment. Higher values of \( Q_{TC} \) lead to a peak in the response, accompanied by a relative extension of bandwidth which initially is greater than the relative response peak. For large values of \( Q_{TC} \), however, the response peak continues to increase without any significant extension of bandwidth. Technically, these responses for \( Q_{TC} \) greater than \( 1/\sqrt{2} \) are second-order Chebyshev (C2) equal-ripple alignments.

Whatever response shape may be considered optimum, Fig. 4 indicates the value of \( Q_{TC} \) required to achieve this alignment and the variation in response shape that will result if \( Q_{TC} \) is altered, i.e., misaligned, from the required value. For intermediate values of \( Q_{TC} \) not included in Fig. 4, Fig. 5 gives normalized values of the response peak magnitude \( |G(j \omega)|_{max} \), the normalized frequency \( f_{0,\max}/f_c \) at which this peak occurs, and the normalized cutoff (half-power) frequency \( f_S/f_C \) for which the response is 3 dB below passband level. The analytical expressions for the quantities plotted in Fig. 5 are given in the appendix.

**Transient Response**

The response of the closed-box system to a step input is plotted in Fig. 6 for several values of \( Q_{TC} \); the time scale is normalized to the periodic time of the system resonance frequency. For values of \( Q_{TC} \) greater than 0.50, the response is oscillatory with increasing values of \( Q_{TC} \) contributing increasing amplitude and decay time [13].

---

Fig. 4. Normalized amplitude vs normalized frequency response of closed-box loudspeaker system for several values of total system \( Q \).

Fig. 5. Normalized cutoff frequency, and normalized frequency and magnitude of response and displacement peaks, as a function of total \( Q \) for the closed-box loudspeaker system.
Fig. 6. Normalized step response of the closed-box loudspeaker system.

4. EFFICIENCY

Reference Efficiency

The closed-box system efficiency in the passband region, or system reference efficiency, is the reference efficiency of the driver operating with the particular value of air-load mass provided by the system enclosure. From [12, eq. (32)], this is

\[
\eta_0 = \frac{4\pi^2 f_s^3 V_{AB}}{Q_{ES}},
\]  
where \( f_s \), \( Q_{ES} \) and \( V_{AB} \) have the values given in (12), (14) and (15). This expression may be rewritten in terms of the system parameters defined in section 2. Using (16), (17) and (18),

\[
\eta_0 = \frac{4\pi^2 f_s^3 V_{AT}}{Q_{EC}},
\]  
where

\[
V_{AT} = \rho_0 c^2 C_{AT}
\]  
is a volume of air having the same total acoustic compliance as the driver suspension and enclosure acting together. For SI units, the value of \( 4\pi^2 / c^3 \) is \( 9.64 \times 10^{-7} \).

Efficiency Factors

Equation (24) may be written

\[
\eta_0 = k_s f_s^3 V_B,
\]  
where

\[
f_s\]  
is the cutoff (half-power or \(-3 \text{ dB}\)) frequency of the system,

\[
V_B\]  
is the net internal volume of the system enclosure,

\[
k_s\]  
is an efficiency constant given by

\[
k_s = \frac{4\pi^2 f_s^3 V_{AT}}{c^3 f_s^3 V_B} - \frac{1}{Q_{EC}}.
\]  
The efficiency constant \( k_s \) may be separated into three factors: \( k_{s(Q)} \), related to system losses, \( k_{s(C)} \), related to system compliances, and \( k_{s(d)} \), related to the system response. Thus

\[
k_s = k_{s(Q)} k_{s(C)} k_{s(d)},
\]  
where

\[
k_{s(Q)} = \frac{Q_{TC}}{Q_{EC}},
\]  
\[
k_{s(C)} = \frac{V_{AT}}{V_B},
\]  
\[
k_{s(d)} = \frac{4\pi^2}{c^3} \left( \frac{f_s}{c^2} \right)^3 Q_{TC}.
\]

**Loss Factor**

Modern amplifiers are designed to have a very low output-port (Thevenin) impedance so that, for practical purposes, \( R_p = 0 \). The value of \( Q_{TC} \) for any system used with such an amplifier is then equal to \( Q_{TOC} \) as given by (9). Equation (29) then reduces to

\[
k_{s(Q)} = \frac{Q_{TOC}}{Q_{EC}} = 1 - \left( \frac{Q_{TOC}}{Q_{MC}} \right).
\]

This expression has a limiting value of unity, but will approach this value only when mechanical losses in the system are negligible (\( Q_{MC} \) infinite) and all required damping is therefore provided by electromagnetic coupling (\( Q_{EC} = Q_{TOC} \)).

The value of \( k_{s(Q)} \), for typical closed-box systems varies from about 0.5 to 0.9. Low values usually result from the deliberate use of mechanical or acoustical dissipation, either to ensure adequate damping of diaphragm or suspension resonances at higher frequencies, or to conserve magnetic material and therefore cost.

**Compliance Factor**

Equation (30) may be expanded to

\[
k_{s(C)} = \frac{C_{AT}}{C_{AB}} \cdot V_{AB} \cdot V_B,
\]  
where

\[
V_{AB} = \rho_0 c^2 C_{AB}
\]  
is a volume of air having an acoustic compliance equal to \( C_{AB} \).

There is an important difference between \( V_B \), the net internal volume of the enclosure, and \( V_{AB} \), a volume of air which represents the acoustic compliance of the enclosure. If the enclosure contains only air under adiabatic conditions, i.e., no lining or filling materials, then \( V_{AB} \) is equal to \( V_B \). But if the enclosure does contain such materials, \( V_{AB} \) is larger than \( V_B \). The increase in \( V_{AB} \) is inversely proportional to the change in the value of \( \gamma \), the ratio of specific heat at constant pressure to that at constant volume for the air in the enclosure. This has a value of 1.4 for the empty enclosure and decreases toward unity if the enclosure is filled with a low-density material of high specific heat [1, p. 220]. Equation (33) may then be simplified to

\[
k_{s(C)} = \frac{\gamma}{\gamma + 1} \cdot \frac{1.4}{\gamma_B},
\]  
where \( \gamma_B \) is the value of \( \gamma \) applicable to the enclosure.
to exceed unity. The effects of filling materials are discussed further in section 7.

Response Factor

The value of \( k_{n(c)} \) in (31) depends only on \( Q_{TC} \) because \( (f_3/f_p) \) is a function of \( Q_{TC} \) as shown in Fig. 5 and (75) of the appendix. Fig. 7 is a plot of \( k_{n(c)} \) vs \( Q_{TC} \). Just above \( Q_{TC} = 1.1, k_{n(c)} \) has a maximum value of \( 2.0 \times 10^{-6} \). This value of \( Q_{TC} \) corresponds to a C2 alignment with a ripple or passband peak of 1.9 dB. Compared to the B2 alignment having the same bandwidth, this alignment is 1.8 dB more efficient.

Maximum Reference Efficiency, Bandwidth, and Enclosure Volume

Selecting the value of \( k_{n(c)} \) for the maximum-efficiency C2 alignment, and taking unity as the maximum attainable value of \( k_{n(c)} k_{n(c)} \), the maximum reference efficiency \( \eta_{B(max)} \) that could be expected from an idealized closed-box system for specified values of \( f_3 \) and \( V_B \) is, from (26) and (28),

\[
\eta_{B(max)} = 2.0 \times 10^{-6} f_3 V_B,
\]

where \( f_3 \) is in Hz and \( V_B \) is in m³. This relationship is illustrated in Fig. 8, with \( V_B \) (given here in cubic decimeters—1 dm³ = 1 liter = 10⁻³ m³) plotted against \( f_3 \) for various values of \( \eta_{B(max)} \) expressed in percent.

Figure 8 represents the physical efficiency-bandwidth-volume limitation of closed-box system design. Any system having given values of \( f_3 \) and \( V_B \) must always have an actual reference efficiency lower than the value of \( \eta_{B(max)} \) given by Fig. 8. Similarly, a system of specified efficiency and volume must have a cutoff frequency higher than that indicated by Fig. 8, etc. These basic relationships have been known on a qualitative basis for years (see, e.g., [11]). An independently derived presentation of the important quantitative limitation was given recently by Finegan [14].

There are two known methods of circumventing the physical limitation imposed by (36) or Fig. 8. One is the stabilized negative-spring principle [15] which enables \( V_{AT} \) to be made much larger than \( V_B \) but requires additional design complexity. The other is the use of amplifier assistance which extends response with the aid of equalizing networks or special feedback techniques [16]. The second method requires additional amplifier power in the region of extended response and a driver capable of dissipating the extra power.

The actual reference efficiency of any practical system may be evaluated directly from (24) if the values of \( f_3, Q_{EC} \) and \( V_{AT} \) are known or are measured. For air-suspension systems, especially those using filling materials, \( V_{AT} \) is often very nearly equal to \( V_B \).

Efficiency-Bandwidth-Volume Exchange

The relationship between reference efficiency, bandwidth, and enclosure volume indicated by (26) and illustrated for maximum-efficiency conditions in Fig. 8 implies that these system specifications can be exchanged one for another if the factors determining \( k_n \) remain constant. Thus if the system is made larger, the parameters may be adjusted to give greater efficiency or extended bandwidth. Similarly, if the cutoff frequency is
Fig. 9. Normalized diaphragm displacement of closed-box system driver as a function of normalized frequency for several values of total system $Q$.

raised, the parameters may be adjusted to give higher efficiency or a smaller enclosure.

If the value of $k_s$ is increased, by reducing mechanical losses, by adding filling material, by increasing $a_s$ or by changing the response shape, the benefit may be taken in the form of smaller size, or higher efficiency, or extended bandwidth, or a combination of these. Each choice requires a specific adjustment of the enclosure or driver parameters.

5. DISPLACEMENT-LIMITED POWER RATINGS

Displacement Function

The closed-box system displacement function given by (20) is a second-order low-pass filter function. The properties of this function are examined in the appendix.

The normalized diaphragm displacement magnitude $|X(j\omega)|$ is plotted in Fig. 9 with frequency normalized to $\omega_C$ for several values of $Q_{TC}$. The curves are exact mirror images of those of Fig. 4. For intermediate values of $Q_{TC}$, Fig. 5 gives normalized values of the displacement peak magnitude $|X(j\omega)|$ and the normalized frequency $f_{\omega_{max}}/f_c$ at which this peak occurs. Analytical expressions for these quantities are given in the appendix.

Acoustic Power Rating

Assuming linear large-signal diaphragm displacement, the steady-state displacement-limited acoustic power rating $P_{AR}$ of a loudspeaker system, from [12, eq. (42)], is

$$P_{AR} = \frac{4\pi^3 p_0}{c} \cdot \frac{f_c^4 V_d^2}{k_s^2 |X(j\omega)|_{\omega_{max}}^2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (37)

where $V_d$ is the peak displacement volume of the driver diaphragm, given by

$$V_d = S_p x_{max},$$  \hspace{1cm} (38)

and $x_{max}$ is the peak linear displacement of the driver diaphragm, usually set by the amount of voice-coil overhang. Substituting (17) and (21) into (37), the steady-state displacement-limited acoustic power rating of the closed-box system becomes

$$P_{AR(CB)} = \frac{4\pi^3 p_0}{c} \cdot \frac{f_c^4 V_d^2}{|X(j\omega)|_{\omega_{max}}^2}. \hspace{1cm} (39)$$

For SI units, the constant $4\pi^3 p_0/c$ is equal to 0.424.

Power Output, Bandwidth, and Displacement Volume

Equation (39) may be rewritten as

$$P_{AR(CB)} = k_p f_c^4 V_d^2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (40)

where $k_p$ is a power rating constant given by

$$k_p = \frac{4\pi^3 p_0}{c} \cdot \frac{1}{(f_c/f_r)^4 |X(j\omega)|_{\omega_{max}}^2}. \hspace{1cm} (41)$$

The acoustic power rating of a system having a specified cutoff frequency $f_c$ and a driver displacement volume $V_d$ is thus a function of $k_p$, and $k_p$ is solely a function of $Q_{TC}$, as shown by (75) and (78) of the appendix.

The variation of $k_p$ with $Q_{TC}$ is plotted in Fig. 10. A maximum value occurs for $Q_{TC}$ very close to 1.1. This is practically the same 1.9 dB ripple C2 alignment that gives maximum efficiency. For this condition, (40) becomes

$$P_{AR(CB)_{max}} = 0.85 f_c^4 V_d^2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (42)

where $P_{AR}$ is in watts for $f_c$ in Hz and $V_d$ in m$^3$.

Equation (42) is illustrated in Fig. 11. $P_{AR}$ is expressed in both watts (left scale) and equivalent SPL at one meter [1, p. 14] for 2$\pi$ steradian free-field radiation conditions (right scale); this is plotted as a function of $f_c$ for various values of $V_d$. The SPL at one meter given on the right-hand scale is a rough indication of the level produced in the reverberant field of an average listening room for a radiated acoustic power given by the left-hand scale [1, p. 318].

Figure 11 represents the physical large-signal limitation of closed-box system design. It may be used to determine the optimum performance tradeoffs ($P_{AR}$ vs $f_c$) for a given diaphragm and voice-coil design or to find the minimum value of $V_d$ which is required to meet a given specification of $f_c$ and $P_{AR}$. The techniques noted earlier which may be used to overcome the small-signal limitation of Fig. 8 do not affect the large-signal limitation imposed by Fig. 11.
Power Output, Bandwidth, and Enclosure Volume

The displacement-limited power rating relationships given above exhibit no dependence on enclosure volume. For fixed response, it is the diaphragm displacement volume \( V_D \) that controls the system power rating. However, \( V_D \) cannot normally be made more than a few percent of \( V_M \); beyond this point, increases in \( V_D \) result in unavoidable non-linear distortion, regardless of driver linearity, caused by non-linear compression of the air in the enclosure [3], [10]. If \( V_D \) is limited to a fixed fraction of \( V_M \), the fraction depending on the amount of distortion considered acceptable, then Fig. 11 may be relabeled to show the minimum enclosure volume required to provide a given combination of \( f_3 \) and \( P_{AR} \) for the specified distortion level, as well as the required \( V_D \).

Program Bandwidth

Figure 10 indicates that \( k_p \) and hence the system steady-state acoustic power rating decreases for values of \( Q_{TC} \) below 1.1 if \( f_3 \) and \( V_D \) are held constant. However, it is clear from Fig. 5 that the frequency of maximum diaphragm displacement, \( f_{\text{max}} \), is below \( f_3 \) for \( Q_{TC} < 1.1 \), and that as \( Q_{TC} \) decreases, \( f_{\text{max}} \) moves further and further below \( f_3 \). This suggests that the steady-state rating becomes increasingly conservative, as \( Q_{TC} \) decreases, for loudspeaker systems operated with program material having little energy content below \( f_3 \). The effect of restricted program bandwidth in most amplifiers further reduces the likelihood of reaching rated displacement at \( f_{\text{max}} \) for these alignments [12, section 7].

For closed-box loudspeaker systems used for high-fidelity music reproduction and having a cutoff frequency of about 40 Hz or less, or operated on speech only and having a cutoff frequency of about 100 Hz or less, an approximate program power rating is that given by (42) or Fig. 11 for any value of \( Q_{TC} \) up to 1.1. Above this value, \( f_{\text{max}} \) is within the system passband and the program rating is effectively the same as the steady-state rating.

Electrical Power Rating

The displacement-limited electrical and acoustic power ratings of a loudspeaker system are related by the system reference efficiency [12, section 7]. Thus, if the acoustic power rating and reference efficiency of a system are known, the corresponding electrical rating may be calculated as the ratio of these.

For the closed-box system, (24) and (39) give the electrical power rating \( P_{ER} \) as

\[
P_{ER(CB)} = \frac{f_c \rho c^2}{V_{AT}} \cdot \frac{V_D}{\left| X(j\omega) \right|_{\text{max}}^2}.
\]

The dependence of this rating on the important system constants is more easily observed from the form obtained by dividing (40) by (26):

\[
P_{ER} = \frac{k_p}{k_v} \frac{f_3 V_D^2}{V_B}.
\]

It is particularly important to realize that for a given acoustic power capacity, the displacement-limited electrical power rating is inversely proportional to efficiency.

Also, displacement non-linearity for large signals tends to increase \( P_{ER} \) over the theoretical linear value. Thus a high input power rating is not necessarily a virtue; it may only indicate a low value of \( k_v \) or a high distortion limit.

The overall electrical power rating which a manufacturer assigns to a loudspeaker system must take into account both the displacement-limited power capacity of the system, \( P_{ER} \), and the thermally-limited power capacity of the driver, \( P_{E_{(\text{max})}} \), together with the spectral and statistical properties of the type of program material for which the rating will apply. The statistical properties of the signal are important in determining whether \( P_{ER} \) or \( P_{E_{(\text{max})}} \) will limit the overall power rating. Because the overall rating sets the maximum safe continuous-power rating of the amplifier to be used. For reliability and low distortion, the overall rating must never exceed \( P_{ER} \); but it may be allowed to exceed \( P_{E_{(\text{max})}} \) in proportion to the peak-to-average power ratio of the intended program material.

The resulting system rating is important when selecting a loudspeaker system to operate with a given amplifier and vice versa. But it must be remembered that the electrical rating gives no clue to the acoustic power capacity unless the reference efficiency is known.

6. PARAMETER MEASUREMENT

It has been shown that the important small-signal and large-signal performance characteristics of a closed-box loudspeaker system depend on a few basic parameters. The ability to measure these basic parameters is thus a useful tool, both for evaluating the performance of an existing loudspeaker system and for checking the results of a new system design which is intended to meet specific performance criteria.

Small-Signal Parameters:

\( f_c, Q_{MC}, Q_{EC}, Q_{TCO}, \alpha, V_{AT} \)

The voice-coil impedance function of the closed-box system is given by (22). The steady-state magnitude \( |Z_{VC}(j\omega)| \) of this function is plotted against normalized frequency in Fig. 12.

The measured impedance curve of a closed-box sys-
tem conforms closely to the shape of Fig. 12. This impedance curve permits identification of the first four parameters as follows:

1) Measure the dc voice-coil resistance $R_E$.
2) Find the frequency $f_c$ at which the impedance has maximum magnitude and zero phase, i.e., is resistive. Let the ratio of maximum impedance magnitude to $R_E$ be defined as $r_C$.
3) Find the two frequencies $f_1 < f_c$ and $f_2 > f_c$ for which the impedance magnitude is equal to $R_E \sqrt{r_C}$.
4) Then, as in [12, appendix],

$$Q_{MC} = \frac{f_c \sqrt{r_C}}{f_2 - f_c}, \quad (45)$$

$$Q_{EC} = Q_{MC} / (r_C - 1), \quad (46)$$

$$Q_{TCO} = Q_{MC} / r_C. \quad (47)$$

To obtain the value of $a$ for the system, remove the driver from the enclosure and measure the driver parameters $f_c$, $Q_{MB}$ and $Q_{EE}$ (with or without a baffle) as described in [12]; the method is the same as that given above for the system. The compliance ratio is then [12, appendix]

$$a = \frac{f_c Q_{EC}}{f_s Q_{EE} - 1}. \quad (48)$$

Drivers with large voice-coil inductance or systems having a large crossover inductance may exhibit some difference between the frequency of maximum impedance magnitude and the frequency of zero phase. If the inductance cannot be bypassed or equalized for measurement purposes [17, section 14], it is better to take $f_c$ as the frequency of maximum impedance magnitude, regardless of phase. It must be expected, however, that some measurement accuracy will be lost in these circumstances.

$V_{AT}$ is evaluated with the help of (1), (11), (15), (25) and (34):

$$V_{AT} = \frac{V_{AB} V_{AB} / (V_{AB} + V_{AS})}{a + 1} V_{AB}. \quad (49)$$

For unfilled enclosures, $V_{AB} = V_B$ and the value of $V_{AT}$ may be computed directly using the measured value of $a$. If the system enclosure is normally filled, an extra set of measurements is required. The filling material is removed from the enclosure, or the driver is transferred to a similar but unfilled test enclosure. For this combination, the resonance frequency $f_{CT}$ and the corresponding $Q$ values $Q_{MCT}$ and $Q_{ECT}$ are measured by the above method. Then, as shown in [12, appendix],

$$V_{AS} = V_B \left[ \frac{f_{CT} Q_{ECT}}{f_s Q_{EE} - 1} \right]. \quad (50)$$

where $V_B$ is the net internal volume of the unfilled enclosure used (the system enclosure or test enclosure). Using (11), (15) and (34), $V_{AB}$ for the filled system enclosure is then given by

$$V_{AB} = V_{AS} / a. \quad (51)$$

This value of $V_{AB}$ may now be used to evaluate $V_{AT}$ using (49).

**Large-Signal Parameters: $P_{E(max)}$ and $V_D$**

The measurement of driver thermal power capacity is best left to manufacturers, who are familiar with the required techniques [18, section 5.7] and are usually quite happy to supply the information on request. Some estimate of thermal power capacity may often be obtained from knowledge of voice-coil diameter and length, the materials used, and the intended use of the driver [19].

The driver displacement volume $V_D$ is the product of $S_D$ and $x_{max}$. It is usually sufficient to evaluate $S_D$ by estimating the effective diaphragm diameter. Some manufacturers specify the "throw" of a driver, which is usually the peak-to-peak linear displacement, i.e., $2 x_{max}$. If this information is not available, the value of $x_{max}$ may be estimated by observing the amount of voice-coil overhang outside the magnetic gap. For a more rigorous evaluation, where the necessary test equipment is available, operate the driver in air with sine-wave input at its resonance frequency and measure the peak displacement for which the radiated sound pressure attains about 10% total harmonic distortion.

**7. ENCLOSEMENT FILLING**

It is stated in section 4 that the addition of an appropriate filling material to the enclosure of an air-suspension system raises the value of the efficiency constant $k_v$. The use and value of such materials have been the subject of much controversy and study [4], [8], [9], [10], [11], [20].

There is no serious disagreement about the value of such materials for damping standing waves within the enclosure at frequencies in the upper piston range and higher. The controversy centers on the value of the materials at low frequencies. A more complete description of the effects of these materials will help to assess their value to various users.

**Compliance Increase**

If the filling material is chosen for low density but high specific heat, the conditions of air compression within the enclosure are altered from adiabatic to isothermal, or partly so [1, p. 220]. This increases the effective acoustic compliance of the enclosure, which is
equivalent to increasing the size of the unfilled enclosure. The maximum theoretical increase in compliance is 40%, but using practical materials the actual increase is probably never more than about 25%.

**Mass Loading**

Often, the addition of filling material increases the total effective moving mass of the system. This has been carefully documented by Avedon [10]. The mechanism is not entirely clear and may involve either motion of the filling material itself or constriction of air passages near the rear of the diaphragm, thus “mass-loading” the driver. Depending on the initial diaphragm mass and the conditions of filling, the mass increase may vary from negligible proportions to as much as 20%.

**Damping**

Air moving inside a filled enclosure encounters frictional resistance and losses energy. Thus the component $R_{AB}$ of Fig. 1 increases when the enclosure is filled. The resulting increase in the total system mechanical losses ($R_{AB} + R_{AB}$) can be substantial, especially if the filling material is relatively dense and is allowed to be quite close to the driver where the air particle velocity and displacement are highest. While unfilled systems have typical $Q_{MC}$ values of about 5–10 (largely the result of driver suspension losses), filled systems generally have $Q_{MC}$ values in the range of 2–5.

**Value to the Designer**

If a loudspeaker system is being designed from scratch, the effect of filling material on compliance is a definite advantage. It means that the enclosure size can be reduced or the efficiency improved or the response extended. Any mass increase which accompanies the compliance increase is simply taken into account in designing the driver so that the total moving mass is just the amount desired. The losses contributed by the material are a disadvantage in terms of their effect on $k_{x}(0)$, but this is a small price to pay for the overall increase in $k$, which results from the greater compliance. In fact, if efficiency is not a problem, the effect of increased frictional losses may be seen to relax the magnet requirements a little, thus saving cost.

Where a loudspeaker system is being designed around a given driver, the compliance increase contributed by the material is still an advantage because it permits the enclosure to be made smaller for a particular (achievable) response. The effect of increased mass is to reduce the driver reference efficiency by the square of the mass increase; this may or may not be desirable. The increased mass will also cause the value of $Q_{MC}$ to be higher for a given value of $f_c$. This will be opposed by the effect of the material losses on $Q_{MC}$.

Often it is hoped that the addition of large amounts of filling material to a system will contribute enough additional damping to compensate for inadequate magnetic coupling in the driver. To the extent that the material increases compliance more than it does mass, $Q_{MC}$ will indeed fall a little. And while $Q_{MC}$ may be substantially decreased, the total reduction in $Q_{MC}$ is seldom enough to rescue a badly underdamped driver as illustrated in [20]. If such a driver must be used, the application of acoustic damping directly to the driver as described in [21] is both more effective and more economical than attempting to overfill the enclosure.

**Measuring the Effects of Filling Materials**

The contribution of filling materials to a given system can be determined by careful measurement of the system parameters with and without the material in place. The added-weight measurement method used by Avedon [10] can be very accurate but is suited only to laboratory conditions. Alternatively, the type of measurements described in section 6 may be used:

1. With the driver in air or on a test baffle, measure $f_b$, $Q_{MS}$, $Q_{BS}$.
2. With the driver in the unfilled enclosure, measure $f_{CT}$, $Q_{MC}$, $Q_{EXT}$.
3. With the driver in the filled enclosure, measure $f_C$, $Q_{MC}$, $Q_{BC}$.
4. Then, using the method of [12, appendix], the ratio of total moving mass with filling to that without filling is

$$M_{AC}/M_{ACT} = f_{CT} Q_{EC}/f_C Q_{EXT},$$

and the enclosure compliance increase caused by filling is

$$V_{AB}/V_B = (f_{CT} Q_{EXT}/f_C Q_{BS}) - 1/(f_C Q_{BC}/f_C Q_{BS}) - 1.$$ (53)

5. The net effect of the material on total system damping may be found by computing $Q_{TCP}$ for the filled system from (9) or (47) and comparing this to the corresponding $Q_{TCP}$ for the unfilled system. These values represent the total $Q$ ($Q_{TCP}$) for each system when driven by an amplifier of negligible source resistance.

The usual result is that the filling material increases both compliance and mass but decreases total $Q$. The decrease in total $Q$ may be a little or a lot, depending on the initial value and on the material chosen and its location in the enclosure.
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